

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,166 09/26/2003		Jerome D. Brown	10387US01 8106		
7590 11/04/2005			EXAMINER		
Imation Corp. PO Box 64898			NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC		
St. Paul, MN 55164-0898			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/672,166	BROWN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Q. Nguyen	3654		

	John Q. Nguyen		3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as ving replies: (1) an tice of Appeal (wit e with 37 CFR 1.1	filing a Notice of amendment, aft h appeal fee) in a 14. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2 ater than SIX MONTI b). ONLY CHECK B	) the date set forth HS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition ension and the corre- hortened statutory p than three months a	esponding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 (	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or w);	search (see NO	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of</li></ul>				ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		ad in a senarate	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable ii subiliille	su iii a separate,	unlery med amendine	in canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> reject and was not earl	ions under appe ier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a I).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of t	he claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
<ul> <li>11.          ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ul>	t does NOT place	the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
720	2. Vyn	~	John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3654	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The inventor in US-6474582 is Jerry D Brown who is different from the the inventor of the claimed invention, Jerome Brown and there's no evidence that they are the same person. Even assuming arguendo that the two are the same person, the affidavit stated that "I collaborated in conceiving aspects of the hub design" which clearly suggests that others were involved in conceiving aspects of the hub design.